BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

Editorials

The Day Kabinened The Minimeter

The rught of the U-2 began as a round of the Is espionage. But there was nothing routine about the mutimeliness of this flight or the tragic inequipme with the which we handled the incident after it backfired Blumble in a display of diplomations should which the form only be described as monumental the mid-which left our President naked to the brutal assault diplom enemies.

There is no doubt that the U-2 flight triggered off kinn heliev's security psychosis. Neither is there any doubt that our presumed policy of forcing an "open kies" surveillance upon the USSR stung his pride, attended his dignity and aroused his bellicosity. But the fliese circumstances explains the reckless abandon with which the Soviet Premier scuttled the summit meeting that marked the consumulation of two years intensive labor and propaganda. His explosive insults, his charges of aggression and treachery, his attempt the appear impossible satisfactions upon a penitent his abover made it evident to the world that he described on Paris simply to turn the already simmering summit into a raging volcano. Why?

the popular view is that when Khrisnchev learned is suite not blufffus out of Berlin, he "decided to pak up his nurbles with loud howls about having been about in other words, the nigly realization that he could not diring home the bacon from Paris made him must for any pretext that would rationalize an indefinite postponement of the once-coveted summit conference. It found such a pretext in the heaven-sent U-2; one interrect flight gaye him an excuse for sulking in his tent and lashing out at his fellow chieftains with the authors wrath of a latter-day Achilles.

Unfortimately, this oversimplified view does not help

the summer by a continue frame and the continue of the summer by a continue frame frame and the continue of the fast two lears of mingled bluster and coloers. At the anortive summit khrushchev made it impossible to negotiate an accommodation on Berlin. He stymied any advance in the Ceneva disarmament conference and the Geneva test ban means by demanding that the U.S. President grove before his face, and by the trustration he forced upon Macmillan and de Gaiule, Khrushchey dealt a mortal blow to the personal summitty which he created.

It is indeed possible that Khrushchev's summit conduct was dictated by drastic changes at home. He may have become the prisoner of the Presidium; he may be boxed in by a resentful military clique; he may be waging a battle to dodge the lime pit or a slow train through Siberia. We do not know whether or not the Paris flasco means that Khrushchev is walking into the grim twilight of past Kremlin gods, and perhaps it does

what matter is that Khrushchev's brand of coexistence has applicantly had its day. The Cold War is on again, and the harometer is falling fast. The West had better button or and prepare its defenses against icy blasts. Where will they strike? Berlin seems the obvious spot, but with coexistence deads what reason is there to restrain Mao on the matter of India or Quemoy? The fact is that the West inust learn to live with crises in the grim days that will try our souls. But there are no grounds for despair. Russia wants no wars During four years the U-2 was untouchable in Soviet skies. Russian defenses would be a sieve for the hell-fire poured out by bombers. Khrushchev knows that. So does Marshall Malinovsky.

Spies and Morality

puzzling degree of moral confusion in our own ranks

the can refrontise funderstand an outsider's momentary delight its the embarrassment of a big and occasionally overbearing neighbor. Still, it remains regrettable as the British Spectator put it, that those who there exerctions but dance in the streets when Mr. Khrushchev made his announcement," needed at this interdate to be reminded of the simple fact that "the United States is our chief ally, and the Soviet Union our chief enemy, in the Cold War."

More regrettable, however, was the unreasoning outburst of moral indignation in some circles at home. No one questions the sincerity behind much of this naive breast beating. But the New York Times sounded the blunt truth in noting that this sincerity is matched by the nauseating hypocrisy with which Premier Khrushchev, who is not paive, has been castigating us for our act of aggression.

our act of aggression.
One troubling feature of these laments is their vague and mispedified character. Norman Cousins began his examination of constance to the May 21 issue of Satur-

American (1970) 1970 Approved For Release 1999/09/17: CIA-RC 2010 1000 1000 16-8